

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



April 28, 2020

Mr. Nguyen Quan  
Bear Valley Electric Service  
Regulatory Affairs Manager  
630 E. Foothill Blvd.  
San Dimas, CA 91773

Dear Mr. Quan:

On October 14, 2019, Golden State Water Company filed Advice Letter 371-E, transmitting a Risk Spending Accountability Report (RSAR) on behalf of Bear Valley Electric Company (BVES), pursuant to Decision (D.)19-08-027 and D.19-04-020. AL 371-E was filed as a Tier 1 Information Only AL and is considered effective on the filing date of October 14, 2019. The CPUC's Energy Division (ED) prepared the enclosed review of BVES' 2018 RSAR and provides recommendations for the utility to consider for its upcoming 2019 RSAR to be filed in 2020.

In D.19-04-020, the CPUC affirmed that ED's review of RSARs serves to raise concerns and seek understanding of the data and "does not constitute a reasonableness [review] of the utility's proposed risk mitigation budgets or programs as required in Public Utilities Code Section 451."<sup>1</sup> Reasonableness review of utilities spending is accomplished in the general rate case (GRC) process.<sup>2</sup> In addition, review and verification of the utility's risk and management activities and spending that took place during the reporting period are part of Safety Performance Metrics reporting.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, ED's review of BVES' RSAR in this letter is limited to the reporting on and highlighting of information and does not make any findings regarding the reasonableness of the utility's spending.

## CONCLUSIONS

While BVES provided information regarding its 2018 level of spending against authorized amounts for some expenditures relating to maintenance, safety, and reliability programs, the utility's RSAR requires additional information and details to comply with D. 19-08-027 and D.19-04-020. ED provides a summary of the deficiencies in the attached Analysis for BVES to consider. If BVES rectifies the deficiencies and follows the guidance provided in D.19-04-020 and D.19-08-027, future RSARs will provide useful information to inform the GRC process.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

In April 2019, the CPUC issued Decision (D.) 19-04-020 modifying the selection criteria and revising the reporting guidance for utilities. ED staff calls attention to Ordering Paragraph 13 in D.19-04-020 which requires BVES to file annual RSARs in the GRC proceeding in which funding for risk mitigation spending was authorized, starting with a report covering 2019.

---

<sup>1</sup> D.19-04-020, pp. 39-40.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid, p. 40.

In addition, D.19-04-020 provides Small and Multi-Jurisdictional Utilities (SMJUs) the following direction: “We direct the SMJUs to follow the general RSAR procedures outlined in Attachment [2], providing the same level of detail on the utility’s risk mitigation and risk spending as presented in its GRC, unless otherwise directed by Commission Staff.”<sup>4</sup> Attachment 2, Section I contains eight guiding principles for preparing RSARs that expand on the General Guidance six principles. As a result, BVES should prepare its future RSARs by following procedures outlined in D.19-04-020, Attachment 2, consistent with Commission direction.

In August 2019, the CPUC issued D.19-08-027, adopting 2018 through 2022 revenue requirements for BVES. The decision also adopted reporting requirements and specified a list of programs for BVES to report on in its annual RSARs.<sup>5</sup> BVES should provide a report on spending in all safety, reliability, and maintenance programs adopted in D.19-08-027.

The 2019 RSAR should be filed and made available to the CPUC’s Safety and Enforcement Division, Safety Policy Division, and Public Advocates Office. BVES should also provide the 2019 RSAR to ED’s Tariff Unit by emailing the report to [edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov).

If you have any questions or comments, please contact Jenny Au, Senior Utilities Engineer, at (213) 620-6502 or [jenny.au@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:jenny.au@cpuc.ca.gov)

Sincerely,



Edward Randolph  
Deputy Executive Director for Energy and Climate Policy/  
Director, Energy Division

*Enclosure*

*cc: Dorothy Duda,  
Branch Manager  
Market Structure, Costs and Natural Gas Branch*

*Service Lists for A.17-05-004*

---

<sup>4</sup> Decision language contains typographical error referring to Attachment 3. Attachment 2 is the correct reference.

<sup>5</sup> D.19-08-027, Section 7 Reporting Requirements Pursuant to D.19-04-020 and Section 591, pp. 46-49.

## **Energy Division Review of the 2018 Interim Risk Spending Accountability Report of Bear Valley Electric Services**

The California Public Utilities Commission's (CPUC) Energy Division (ED) reviewed Bear Valley Electric Services' (BVES) Risk Spending Accountability Report (RSAR), filed on October 14, 2019. ED conducted the review to provide the CPUC and BVES with information that may be useful in future proceedings and serves as a precursor to the review of RSARs required by CPUC Decision (D.) 19-04-020.

### **BACKGROUND**

In December 2014, the CPUC issued D.14-12-025, *Decision Incorporating a Risk-Based Decision-Making Framework into the Rate Case Plan and Modifying Appendix A of D.07-07-004*, and directed only the large investor-owned utilities (not SMJUS) under its jurisdiction to prepare and submit to the CPUC annual RSARs that would compare authorized and actual spending on risk mitigation projects.

On January 1, 2018, the CPUC enacted Public Utilities Code Section 591 requiring electric and gas utilities to provide an annual report to the Commission regarding the re-direction of funds authorized for capital or expense revenue to other purposes.

In an August 31, 2018, ruling in A.15-05-002 (*Safety Model Assessment Proceedings*), the assigned ALJ included a "General Guidance for the Small and Multi-Jurisdictional Utilities on the Risk Spending Accountability Report"<sup>6</sup> (General Guidance). The General Guidance suggested that SMJUs should file interim annual RSARs beginning on June 30, 2019 for the 2018 record year and provided six principles that the SMJUs should adhere to when filing interim RSARs.<sup>7</sup>

In April 2019, the CPUC issued D.19-04-020, *Phase Two Decision Adopting Risk Spending Accountability Report Requirements and Safety Performance Metrics for Investor-Owned Utilities and Adopting a Safety Model Approach for Small and Multi-Jurisdictional Utilities*, and directed SMJUs to file annual RSARs, starting in June 2020 for the 2019 recorded year.

In August 2019, the CPUC issued D.19-08-027, *Resolving 2018 General Rate Case Application for Golden State Water Company, on Behalf of its Bear Valley Electric Service Division*. The Decision adopted additional reporting requirements for BVES and adopted a new filing schedule for BVES' RSARs.

On October 14, 2019, BVES filed Advice Letter 371-E to comply with the requirements of D.19-08-027. BVES provided information on recorded expenditures and authorized budgets for some programs pertaining to safety, reliability, and maintenance. The information provided in AL 371-E lacks the details necessary to meet the General Guidance and requirements for Risk Spending Accountability Reporting, as specified in D.19-04-020 and D.19-08-027.

---

<sup>6</sup> A.15-05-002 SMAP, Energy Division Guidance for the Standardized Reporting and Outline of the Risk Spending Accountability Report, ALJ Ruling dated August 31, 2018. Attachment B - General Guidance for the Small and Multi-Jurisdictional Utilities on the Risk Spending Accountability Report.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid.

## REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The **General Guidance** provided SMJUs with six guiding principles for preparing RSARs. A summary of the General Guidance six principles follows below:<sup>8</sup>

- 1) A comparison of actual spending to authorized spending for programs that address safety or reliability risk within the utility's electric system under CPUC jurisdiction with an explanation of the variance.
- 2) The report should include programs with maintenance activities.
- 3) For each program, the utility should report the authorized and actual spending and calculate the difference from authorized in dollars and percent. The utility should compare the total authorized and actual spending for all expensed and capital programs to the spending on the programs included in the report.
- 4) The utility may identify programs at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) account level depending on the presentation in the GRC.
- 5) The programs may include CPUC-jurisdictional transmission, distribution, generation, or other and can follow the contents of the GRC application. Capital programs and expensed programs should be grouped separately. Items within a capital program should include direct capital expenditures and exclude allocations for retirements, cost of financing and other adjustments.
- 6) The utility should identify the programs subject to a balancing or memorandum account and the effect the account has on the authorized spending.

In addition, D.19-04-020, Attachment 2, Section IX requires SMJUs to file and serve RSARs on the prior GRC service list until the next GRC proceeding is opened.

Furthermore, the CPUC adopted a list of programs that are associated with safety, reliability, and maintenance in D. 19-08-027 and required BVES to include them in BVES' annual RSARs.<sup>9</sup> The decision also requires BVES to file annual RSARs by March 31 of the following year. The list of programs that should be included in BVES is shown in Table 1 below.

---

<sup>8</sup>D.19-04-020, Ordering Paragraph 13.

<sup>9</sup> D.19-08-027, p. 48.

**Table 1 - Adopted Maintenance, Safety and Reliability Programs**

<b>Expense</b>	<b>Capital</b>
<b>Specific Program</b>	<b>Specific Program</b>
Pole Loading Assessment and Remediation	Pole Loading Assessment and Remediation
Vegetation Management	Tree Attachment Removal
Electrical Preventative Maintenance	BVPP - Install Engine System Manager
Predictive Based Maintenance of Overhead Lines	BVPP - Oil Filter Conversion and Cylinder Upgrades
	Safety and Technical Upgrades of Palomino Substation
<b>Remaining Maintenance Expenses</b>	Replacement of Fawnskin Conductors
Power Generation Maintenance (FERC 551-554)	Replacement of Summit Conductor
Transmission System Maintenance (FERC 568-574)	Replacement of Baldwin Conductors
Regional Market Equipment Maintenance (FERC 576)	<b>Blanket Programs</b>
Distribution System Maintenance (FERC 590-598)	GO 174 Substation Safety and Reliability Compliance Projects
General Plant Maintenance (FERC 935)	Wire Upgrade and Relocation Project
	GO 95/165 Safety and Reliability Compliance Projects
	Shifting Tree Attachment to Poles/Underground Projects
	Public Works Project Support
	Office Furniture and Equipment Project
	BVPP Misc. Tools & Safety Equipment Project
	Field Operations Misc Tools & Safety Project
	Minor Additions to General Structure Project

**STAFF ANALYSIS**

In reviewing BVES’ 2018 risk spending information, ED’s objective is to provide an analysis of spending variance in safety, reliability and maintenance programs, and an analysis of the utility’s compliance with the General Guidance and subsequent guidance provided in D.19-04-020. Table 1 below provides a summary of BVES’ spending information.

**Table 2: Summary of BVES’ 2018 Spending Variance**

<b>Budget</b>	<b>Programs</b>	<b>Authorized Budget (\$000)</b>	<b>Recorded Budget (\$000)</b>	<b>Variance (\$000)</b>	<b>Variance (%)</b>
Capital	Pole Loading Assessment & Remediation	1,500	1,471	(29)	-2%
Capital	Tree Attachment Removal	500	567	67	13%
Capital	BVPP upgrades	-	-	-	
	<b>Total Capital</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>2,038</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2%</b>

Budget	Programs	Authorized Budget (\$000)	Recorded Budget (\$000)	Variance (\$000)	Variance (%)
O&M	Electrical Preventative Maintenance Program	108	-	(108)	-100%
O&M	Predictive Based Maintenance of OH Lines Program	99	83	(16)	-16%
	<b>Total O&amp;M</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>(124)</b>	<b>-60%</b>
	<b>Company-wide</b>	<b>2,207</b>	<b>2,121</b>	<b>(85)</b>	<b>-4%</b>

The data shows that BVES underspent its 2018 authorized budget by approximately 4% for the programs reported in its RSAR. While BVES slightly exceeded its authorized capital budget, the utility drastically underspent its O&M budget. However, it should be noted that BVES did not report spending for **all** safety, reliability, and maintenance related programs as required. Therefore, ED is unable to provide a complete assessment of BVES' company-wide risk spending in 2018.

To comply with D.19-08-027, BVES should report spending on all the programs summarized in Table 1 above. The Commission identified the programs associated with safety, reliability, and maintenance activities specific to BVES' operations and expects BVES to provide information on its annual spending in these programs. Even if BVES does not have an authorized budget AND recorded spending in any programs for the reporting year, BVES should explain the absence of information instead of omitting the programs from the RSAR.

To comply with the directives of D.19-04-020, BVES should include the following information in RSARs for all reporting programs:

1. Provide an explanation of the spending variance;
2. Capital and expensed programs should be identified as transmission, distribution, generation, and other.
3. Provide a discussion on programs with balancing and memorandum accounts.

BVES should incorporate the above recommendations in future RSARs.



# ADVICE LETTER SUMMARY



## ENERGY UTILITY

MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

Company name/CPUC Utility No.: Bear Valley Electric Service (913-E)

Utility type:

- ELC       GAS       WATER  
 PLC       HEAT

Contact Person: Nguyen Quan  
 Phone #: (909) 394-3600 x664  
 E-mail: nquan@gswater.com  
 E-mail Disposition Notice to: nquan@gswater.com

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE  
 ELC = Electric      GAS = Gas      WATER = Water  
 PLC = Pipeline      HEAT = Heat

(Date Submitted / Received Stamp by CPUC)

Advice Letter (AL) #: 371-E

Tier Designation: 1

Subject of AL: Risk Spending Accountability Report

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Annual Report

AL Type:  Monthly  Quarterly  Annual  One-Time  Other:

If AL submitted in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: Decision No. 19-08-027

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: N/A

Confidential treatment requested?  Yes  No

If yes, specification of confidential information:

Confidential information will be made available to appropriate parties who execute a nondisclosure agreement. Name and contact information to request nondisclosure agreement/ access to confidential information:

Resolution required?  Yes  No

Requested effective date: 10/14/19

No. of tariff sheets: 0

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): 0.0

Estimated system average rate effect (%): 0.0

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: None

Service affected and changes proposed<sup>1</sup>: See Advice Letter

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: None

<sup>1</sup>Discuss in AL if more space is needed.

**Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this submittal, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:**

CPUC, Energy Division  
Attention: Tariff Unit  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Email: [EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov)

Name: Nguyen Quan  
Title: Regulatory Affairs Manager  
Utility Name: Bear Valley Electric Service  
Address: 630 E. Foothill Blvd.  
City: San Dimas State: California  
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (909) 394-3600 x 664  
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (909) 394-7427  
Email: [nquan@gswater.com](mailto:nquan@gswater.com)

Name: Ronald Moore  
Title: Senior Regulatory Analyst  
Utility Name: Bear Valley Electric Service  
Address: 630 E. Foothill Blvd.  
City: San Dimas State: California  
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (909) 394-3600 x 682  
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (909) 394-7427  
Email: [rkmoore@gswater.com](mailto:rkmoore@gswater.com)



October 14, 2019

Advice Letter No. 371-E

(U 913 E)

## California Public Utilities Commission

Golden State Water Company ("GSWC") hereby transmits one original and two conformed copies of this Information-Only advice letter on behalf of its Bear Valley Electric Service ("BVES") division.

**SUBJECT: Risk Spending Accountability Report**

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this filing is to submit an information-only advice letter, which provides a comparison of BVES actual expenditures to adopted expenditures, as approved in California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") Decision No. ("D.") 19-08-027.

### **BACKGROUND**

On August 15, 2019, the Commission issued D.19-08-027, approving the Settlement Agreement signed by all parties, to resolve the 2018 General Rate Case application of BVES. Furthermore, D. 19-08-027 adopts specific maintenance, safety and reliability programs for BVES to be included in the annual Risk Spending Accountability Report ("RSAR"), pursuant to D.19-04-020, which adopted the Risk Spending Accountability Report Requirement.

### **COMPLIANCE**

BVES is filing this advice letter in accordance with Ordering Paragraph No. 17 in D.19-08-027, which states,

*17. Golden State Water Company, on behalf of its Bear Valley Electric Service Division, shall file an information-only advice letter within 60 days of the issuance of the final decision in this proceeding, and annually by March 31 of each succeeding year, which includes a comparison of actual expenditures to adopted expenditures as approved in this decision for safety, reliability, and maintenance programs pursuant to the reporting requirements of Decision (D.) 19-04-020 and Public Utilities Code Section 591 relating to the Risk Spending Accountability Report. The March 31 due date revises the date previously set in D.19-04-020. The advice letters shall be filed with the Energy Division's Tariff Unit and served on the appropriate general rate case proceedings.*

The Commission issued D.19-08-027 on August 15, 2019, therefore, the filing of this advice letter is timely.

**Attachment A** provides the Risk Spending Accountability Report of capital programs adopted in D.19-08-027.

### **TIER DESIGNATION**

This advice letter is submitted with a Tier 1 designation.

### **EFFECTIVE DATE**

This advice letter shall have an effective date of October 14, 2019.

No individuals or utilities have requested notification of filing of tariffs. Distribution of this Advice Letter is being made to the attached service list in accordance with General Order No. 96-B.

### **NOTICE AND PROTESTS**

A protest is a document objecting to the granting in whole or in part of the authority sought in this advice letter. A response is a document that does not object to the authority sought, but nevertheless presents information that the party tendering the response believes would be useful to the CPUC in acting on the request.

A protest must be mailed within 20 days of the date the CPUC accepts the advice letter for filing. The Calendar is available on the CPUC's website at [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov).

A protest must state the facts constituting the grounds for the protest, the effect that approval of the advice letter might have on the protestant, and the reasons the protestant believes the advice letter, or a part of it, is not justified. If the protest requests an evidentiary hearing, the protest must state the facts the protestant would present at an evidentiary hearing to support its request for whole or partial denial of the advice letter. The utility must respond to a protest with five days.

### **All protests and responses should be sent to:**

California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division  
ATTN: Tariff Unit  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
E-mail: [EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov)

Copies should also be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004 (same address above).

Copies of any such protests should be sent to this utility at:  
Golden State Water Company  
ATTN: Nguyen Quan  
630 East Foothill Blvd.  
San Dimas, CA 91773  
Fax: 909-394-7427  
E-mail: nquan@gswater.com

If you have not received a reply to your protest within 10 business days, contact Nguyen Quan at (909) 394-3600 ext. 664.

**CORRESPONDENCE**

Any correspondence regarding this compliance filing should be sent by regular mail or e-mail to the attention of:

Nguyen Quan  
Manager, Regulatory Affairs  
Golden State Water Company  
630 East Foothill Blvd.  
San Dimas, California 91773  
Email: nquan@gswater.com

The protest shall set forth the grounds upon which it is based and shall be submitted expeditiously. There is no restriction on who may file a protest.

Sincerely,  
/s/ Nguyen Quan  
Nguyen Quan  
Manager, Regulatory Affairs

c: Edward Randolph, Director, CPUC - Energy Division  
Franz Cheng, CPUC- Energy Division  
R. Mark Pocta, Cal PA

# **ATTACHMENT A**

**BVES Accountability Report on the Safety, Reliability and Maintenance  
Projects as Authorized in D.19-08-027**

<b>BVES Accountability Report on the Safety, Reliability and Maintenance Projects (D. 19-08-027)</b>				
<b>Actual Costs as of 10/10/19</b>				
<b>BVES</b>				
<b>2018 Expense Report</b>				
<b>Safety, Reliability or Maintenance Program</b>	<b>Authorized Cost (A)</b>	<b>Actual Costs (B)</b>	<b>Difference (B - A)</b>	<b>Percent Change (B - A)/A</b>
Pole Loading Assessment and Remediation	\$1,500,000	\$1,471,019	(\$28,981)	-1.93%
Tree Attachment Removal	\$500,000	\$567,340	\$67,340	13.47%
BVPP - Upgrades	-\$	-\$	-\$	N/A
Vegetation Management Program	\$338,793	\$407,485	\$68,692	20.28%
Electrical Preventative Maintenance Program	\$108,282	-\$	(\$108,282)	
Predictive Based Maintenance of Overhead Lines Program	\$98,544	\$83,000	(\$15,544)	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,545,619</b>	<b>\$2,528,844</b>	<b>(\$16,775)</b>	<b>-0.66%</b>
<b>BVES</b>				
<b>2019 Expense Report</b>				
<b>Safety, Reliability or Maintenance Program</b>	<b>Authorized Cost (A)</b>	<b>Actual Costs (B)</b>	<b>Difference (B - A)</b>	<b>Percent Change (B - A)/A</b>
Pole Loading Assessment and Remediation	\$2,680,163	\$2,045,094	(\$635,069)	-23.70%
Tree Attachment Removal	\$790,023	\$527,743	(\$262,280)	-33.20%
BVPP - Upgrades	\$939,570	-\$	(\$939,570)	N/A
Vegetation Management Program	\$338,235	\$320,417	(\$17,818)	-5.27%
Electrical Preventative Maintenance Program	\$108,180	-\$	(\$108,180)	N/A
Predictive Based Maintenance of Overhead Lines Program	\$98,452	\$33,750	(\$64,702)	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,954,623</b>	<b>\$2,927,004</b>	<b>(\$2,027,619)</b>	<b>-40.9%</b>

<b>BVES</b>				
<b>2020 Expense Report</b>				
<b>Safety, Reliability or Maintenance Program</b>	<b>Authorized Cost (A)</b>	<b>Actual Costs (B)</b>	<b>Difference (B - A)</b>	<b>Percent Change (B - A)/A</b>
Pole Loading Assessment and Remediation	\$2,680,163	-\$	(\$2,680,163)	N/A
Tree Attachment Removal	\$790,023	-\$	(\$790,023)	N/A
BVPP - Upgrades	-\$	-\$	-\$	N/A
Vegetation Management Program	\$336,502	-\$	(\$336,502)	N/A
Electrical Preventative Maintenance Program	\$108,370	-\$	(\$108,370)	N/A
Predictive Based Maintenance of Overhead Lines Program	\$98,625	-\$	(\$98,625)	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,013,683</b>	<b>-\$</b>	<b>(\$4,013,683)</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>BVES</b>				
<b>2021 Expense Report</b>				
<b>Safety, Reliability or Maintenance Program</b>	<b>Authorized Cost (A)</b>	<b>Actual Costs (B)</b>	<b>Difference (B - A)</b>	<b>Percent Change (B - A)/A</b>
Pole Loading Assessment and Remediation	\$2,680,163	-\$	(\$2,680,163)	N/A
Tree Attachment Removal	\$790,023	-\$	(\$790,023)	N/A
BVPP - Upgrades	\$911,399	-\$	(\$911,399)	N/A
Vegetation Management Program	\$329,753	-\$	(\$329,753)	N/A
Electrical Preventative Maintenance Program	\$108,465	-\$	(\$108,465)	N/A
Predictive Based Maintenance of Overhead Lines Program	\$98,711	-\$	(\$98,711)	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,918,514</b>	<b>-\$</b>	<b>(\$4,918,514)</b>	<b>N/A</b>

<b>BVES</b>				
<b>2022 Expense Report</b>				
<b>Safety, Reliability or Maintenance Program</b>	<b>Authorized Cost (A)</b>	<b>Actual Costs (B)</b>	<b>Difference (B - A)</b>	<b>Percent Change (B - A)/A</b>
Pole Loading Assessment and Remediation	\$2,680,163	-\$	(2,680,163)	N/A
Tree Attachment Removal	\$790,023	-\$	(\$790,023)	N/A
BVPP - Upgrades	-\$	-\$	-\$	N/A
Vegetation Management Program	327,826	-\$	(\$327,826)	N/A
Electrical Preventative Maintenance Program	\$108,397	-\$	(\$108,397)	N/A
Predictive Based Maintenance of Overhead Lines Program	\$98,649	-\$	(\$98,649)	N/A
Total	\$4,005,058	-\$	(\$4,005,058)	N/A
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$20,437,497</b>			

**GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY**

**G.O. 96-B**  
**SERVICE LIST**

**BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC SERVICE DIVISION**

AGNES ROBERTS, FINANCIAL ANALYST  
[AGNES.ROBERTS@BBCCSD.ORG](mailto:AGNES.ROBERTS@BBCCSD.ORG)  
EMAIL ONLY

CITY CLERK  
CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE  
39707 BIG BEAR BLVD.  
P.O. BOX 10000  
BIG BEAR LAKE, CA 92315

CITY ATTORNEY  
CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE  
39707 BIG BEAR BLVD.  
P.O. BOX 10000  
BIG BEAR LAKE, CA 92315

COUNTY CLERK  
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO  
385 N. ARROWHEAD AVENUE - 2<sup>ND</sup> FLOOR  
SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0140

COUNTY COUNSEL  
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO  
385 N. ARROWHEAD AVENUE - 4<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR  
SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0140

HERSCHEL T. ELKINS  
ASST ATTORNEY GENERAL  
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
300 SOUTH SPRING STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

ERIC JANSSEN  
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS LLP  
2600 CAPITOL AVE., STE. 400  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-5905  
[ERICJ@ESLAWFIRM.COM](mailto:ERICJ@ESLAWFIRM.COM)

WADE REESER, VP, OPERATIONS  
BIG BEAR MOUNTAIN RESORTS  
P.O. BOX 77, 880 SUMMIT BLVD.  
BIG BEAR LAKE CA 92315  
[WREESER@MAMMOTHRESORTS.COM](mailto:WREESER@MAMMOTHRESORTS.COM)

PETER EICHLER  
LIBERTY UTILITIES  
2865 BRISTOL CIRCLE  
OAKVILLE, ONTARIO L6H 7H7  
[PETER.EICHLER@LIBERTYUTILITIES.COM](mailto:PETER.EICHLER@LIBERTYUTILITIES.COM)

MIKE LONG  
CALIFORNIA PACIFIC ELECTRIC CO., LLC  
933 ELOISE AVENUE  
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, CA 96150  
[MIKE.LONG@LIBERTY-ENERGY.COM](mailto:MIKE.LONG@LIBERTY-ENERGY.COM)

RANDLE COMMUNICATIONS  
500 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 1950  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814  
[MCARDONA@RANDLECOMMUNICATIONS.COM](mailto:MCARDONA@RANDLECOMMUNICATIONS.COM)  
[MGAZDA@RANDLECOMMUNICATIONS.COM](mailto:MGAZDA@RANDLECOMMUNICATIONS.COM)

MEGAN SOMOGYI  
GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI & DAY, LLP  
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111  
[MSOMOGY@GOODINMACBRIDE.COM](mailto:MSOMOGY@GOODINMACBRIDE.COM)

FRED YANNEY, YANNEY LAW OFFICE  
17409 MARQUARDT AVENUE, UNIT C-4  
CERRITOS, CA 90703  
[FREDYANNEY@GMAIL.COM](mailto:FREDYANNEY@GMAIL.COM)

BRENT TREGASKIS  
BEAR MOUNTAIN RESORT  
P O BOX 77  
BIG BEAR LAKE, CA 92315

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.  
P. O. BOX 800  
ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

PATRICK O'REILLY  
OPR COMMUNICATIONS  
19318 JESSE LANE, SUITE 200  
RIVERSIDE, CA 92508  
[POREILLY@OPRUSA.COM](mailto:POREILLY@OPRUSA.COM)

ARLENE HERRERA  
OPR COMMUNICATIONS  
19318 JESSE LANE, SUITE 200  
RIVERSIDE, CA 92508  
[AHERRERA@OPRUSA.COM](mailto:AHERRERA@OPRUSA.COM)

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND  
REA. D. ESTRELLA  
SOUTHWEST DIVISIONM  
1220 PACIFIC HIGHWAY  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92132  
[REA.ESTRELLA@NAVY.MIL](mailto:REA.ESTRELLA@NAVY.MIL)

LIBERTY UTILITIES  
9750 WASHBURN ROAD  
DOWNEY, CA 90241  
[AdviceLetterService@libertyutilities.com](mailto:AdviceLetterService@libertyutilities.com)